G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No 360

192

Serial Nos.

( )

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Petitioner
Raghavachari
Archak of Sree
Bhashyakarsannidhi
Melkote

Diary No 2258 of 20-21 d. 28 4/21

States that the Deputy Commissioner has suspended him without any enquiry upon the yadi of the Sree Parakala Matha who are against the "Sampradaya" of the petitioners (Tengalai)

In G.O. No 5071-8 / P. 2 dated 20th July 1891 it is stated that the Sree Parakala Matha should not interfere with "Tengalai" Sampradaya". This order is in the file connected with his suspension. There is ~~an~~ another nirup of the late Sree Krishnaraj Woodiar in the records asking the Sree Parakala Matha not to write to H. H. about the matters which are not connected with his Maryade

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III-3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

He also states that his marriage is in accordence with the Sampradaya of Tengalai community for which the ~~matter~~ may be referred both to Sreeman Vidya Bhooshana Sreerangachar and the Present Swamigalu of the Sree Yetiraya mutha at Melkote

Prays that the Suspension order may be cancelled as his marriage is valid in accordence with the Tengalai "Sampradaya" and he may be restored to the Archaks post of Sree Nayachari Sannidhi

He brings to notice that many people of the Tengalai Community have married the same manner as he and prays as in the forwarding

Their con file (main file) is before the Govt.-advocate to whom this may be forwarded in continuation, if approved.

2/5/27

This ~~to~~ may be placed in the file after it is recd from the Govt. advocate 3/6/

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. . III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

2258

28/4/21

[illegible] 6 2
28.4.21

[illegible]

[illegible]:

[illegible]

[illegible]/11 [illegible]

[illegible]

I [illegible]

II [illegible]

[illegible] 204 [illegible]

III [illegible]

[illegible] 20.7.91

[illegible] $\frac{5071-8}{1 \cdot 2}$ [illegible]

[illegible]

IV [illegible]

[illegible]

19-10-1929 [illegible]

[illegible]

V [illegible]

(1) [illegible]

(2) [illegible] 9.4.1920 [illegible]

(3) [illegible]

(4) [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(Camp Bangalore
28.4.1921)

[illegible]

VI [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Camp Bangalore
28. 4. 1921.

D. Raghavachary
of Bellary

G. R. S. VI.—G/7]

DRAFT.

SUBJECT Suspension of Raghavachar Archak of the Sree Ramanujachar's Sannidhi at Melkote French-rocks Sub Dn

Section.
File No.
Serial No.

No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, the 191

The accompanying Petition Registered No. 2258 dated 28th April 1921, received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Raghavachar archak of Sree Ramanujachar's Sannidhi at Melkote praying that he may be restored to the Archakship after ~~enquiry~~ cancelling the suspension order of the Deputy Commissioner is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District

for disposal

Date of issue

Signed by

By Order,

Secretary to Government.

2/5/21

P.T.O

P.T.O.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ [illegible] ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

[illegible] ಹೋಬಳಿ

.... ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

[illegible]

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ನಂಬರು................

[illegible]

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ 28-4-21

ಮರ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು [illegible] ಬಗ್ಗೆ

[illegible] ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

By Order,

Secretary to Government.

7/5/21

List of enclosures

Copied by..

Examined by..

WD 1255—GPB—5,000—21-1-19

[illegible]

౨౦-౨౧

౨౦౪౯
11.11.1920

GEN & REV SEC
13 NOV 1920

[illegible]

[illegible] ; ——

—[illegible] ; ——

[illegible]

[illegible] ౨-౩ [illegible] ౯.౧౦.౨౦ [illegible] ౨-౩ [illegible] ౮.౧౧.౧౯౨౦ [illegible]

[illegible]

11th November 1920
Awalkote

B. Raghava Rayo

7 30
13 NOV 20

No 49
11.11.1920

Stamped

INDIA POSTAGE & REVENUE
HALF ½A ANNA

To

The Muzrai Secretary
in Mysore
Bangalore

B Raghavacharya
Melkote

GEN & REV. SE

10 NOV 1920

SERIAL NO.

Rec 47
8.11.1920

23.56

[illegible]

[illegible]

5071-B
P. 2

[illegible]

6th November 1920
[illegible].

B Raghavarao

No 47
8.11.1920

Stamps

The Muzrai Secretary
— Mysore
Bangalore.

From
B. Raghunathachary
Melkote

INDIA POSTAGE & REVENUE ½ ANNA

KOTE 8 NOV 20 MYSORE

BANGALORE NOV

GEN & REV 1125
DIARY No. Sub
24 NOV. 1920
No 51
22-11-1920
FILE No.
SERIAL
2

Melkote
Raghavachari
appeal
LK

16

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 20.7.18[illegible]

[illegible] $\frac{\text{[illegible] } 71-8}{\text{P. } 2}$ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

22 November 1920
Melkote

B. Raghavachari

To

Stamped

The Mangarai Secretary

in Mangalore

From

Bhaghavachary
Melkote

x Bangalore x

MELKOTE

DIARY No. 1107

24

19

NOV 1920

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 11.1920 [illegible] 11.11.1920 [illegible]

[illegible]

20th November 20 [illegible]

[illegible]

B. Raghavareddy

To

[illegible]

The General Secretary

[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] Bangalore

From:

[illegible]

[illegible]

POSTAGE & REVENUE
HALF ½A ANNA

XII [illegible]

71246

౨౦౬౧
౯ 5. ౨౧

Inwd 5th
MAY 1921

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

9th Aug 1921
[illegible]

OV 17/5/21

Stamp –

The Assistant Secretary
in charge
[illegible] [illegible]

From
B. Raghavan [illegible]
[illegible]

MELKOTE
B 10 MAY 21
MYSORE

HALF ANNA

11 MAY. 21

Trevelyan's Hindu Law

(Pages 40 & 41).

X X X

Adopted son. A son adopted according to the Dattaka form cannot marry any one of the persons whom he would have been prohibited from marrying if he had remained in his natural family. It is unsettled whether he is also prohibited -- from marrying any one of the girls, whom he could not have married, had he been a legitimate son of his adoptive --- father, or whether he is only prohibited from marrying a girl who belongs to the Gotra of his adoptive father, or is within three degrees of descent from the adoptive --- father and his two paternal ancestors.[1] (2) The latter view has been accepted by Nanda Pandita in the Dattaka "Mimansa," and it is therefore to be supposed that it would be acceptable to the Benares and Mithila Schools (3)

---

1. This view is taken in G.C.Sircar's "Law of Adoption," 387, following the "Dattaka Mimansa," s.4, paras. 32-38.

2. S.vi. paras. 32-38; see "Vyavahara Mayukha," chap.iv.s.5, para 30.

3. Ante, pp. 14, 17.

4. See Banerjee's "Law of Marriage," 2nd ed., p.63.

5. Ibid. ; S.C.Sircar's "Vyavastha Darpana," 2nd ed., p.890; "Dattaka Mimansa," s.6, paras. 50-53.

6. See Bhattacharya's "Hindu Law,". 2nd ed., 97. In Lachman Kuar v. Mardan Singh (1886), 8 All. 143, the Court held that, in the absence of a special custom, the marriage of a Hindu with his cousin's widow was valid.

X X X Do - page 195

Marriage and adoption in natural family. Adoption does not sever the tie of blood which exists between the adopted son and the members of his natural family. He cannot, therefore, marry in his -- natural family within the prohibited degrees, nor can he take in adoption therefrom a boy whom he could not have adopted if he had himself remained in that family.

X X X

Sarkar's Hindu Law of Adoption (page 384).

X X X

PROHIBITED DEGREES FOR AN ADOPTED SON'S MARRIAGE.- From what is stated above, it follows that an adopted son cannot espouse a damsel in his natural family who is related to him as sapinda, nor one born in the Gotra or the family of the adopter nor a female sapinda by adoption, or one connected by funeral oblation, in other words, one who is within three degrees of descent from the adoptive father ~~and his~~ and his two paternal ancestors.

X X X

Bhattacharji's Hindu Law (Pages 95 & 96).

X X X X

2. The Dattaka son cannot marry a sapinda or a sagotra girl on the side of his natural or adoptive parents.

As to the degrees of relationship within which adopted sons are precluded from marrying, there is considerable difference of opinion. By an absolute adoption, a complete severance of Pinda and Gotra takes place. Manu, IX, 142. It may, therefore, be contended that an adopted son may marry in the Gotra of his natural father. In fact, this seems to be the opinion of Raghunandana and of Nanda Pundita. But, according to Sulpani and the Dattaka Chandrika, such marriage is prohibited by the text of Manu and Satatapa, which says that a twice-born must not marry in the Gotra of his father. If the Rishis meant only to lay down that he is not to marry in his own gotra they could have formulated the injunction without the use of the words "father's gotra." The use of the words "father's Gotra," instead of "his own Gotra," shows, in the opinion of Sulpani and the author of the Chandrika, that an adopted son cannot marry in the Gotra of his natural parents. Udvahatattva, p.6; Chandrika IV,8.

Banerjee's Hindu Law of Marriage and Stridhana. (Page 65)

X X X X

In the case of a person whose filial relation has been changed by adoption, as well as in the case of his descendants, while the above rules about prohibited degrees continue in full force with reference to their relations by consanguinity, the same rules apply with regard to relations in the families of ~~th~~ the adoptive parents in the same way as if the adopted son ~~wer~~ were their legitimate son. There may, however, be some difficulty in fixing the maternal line by adoption. If the adoptin father has only one wife, she is considered the adoptive mother; if he has more wives than one, but joins with one of them only in the ceremony of adoption, or if only one of them takes the child with his permission, then, too, she is considered as the mother by adoption.

X X X X

2362

GEN & REV. SECT.
16 MAY 1921

2 X III [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

66
14 · 5 · 21

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

14th May
Madras

B. Raghava[illegible]

[illegible]

8 A.M.
16 MAY 21

၆၆
၁၄ . ၅ . ၂၁

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]

14
B

01095

XIV [illegible]

DIARY No. 2426

SECTION Mew

FILE No.

Rec 68
24.5.21
Recd by Regd post
NKG
26/5/21

[illegible]

[illegible] :—

[illegible]

[illegible] :—

[illegible]

Orders were issued yesterday cancelling the suspension order. This will be placed in the Con: file
SL
27/5/21

DA

[illegible]

[illegible]

24th May 19[illegible]

[illegible]

B. [illegible] Rao